



15800

ecology and environment, inc.

111 WEST JACKSON BLVD., CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604, TEL. 312-663-9415  
International Specialists in the Environment

1630200005 HCE  
Sauger  
Superfund/  
General Correspondence  
FISCAL

September 15, 1986

Mr. Jeff Larson  
Illinois EPA  
Division of Land Pollution Control  
2200 Churchill Road  
Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Jeff:

Pursuant to our conversation during the meeting on September 10, 1986 in Chicago, this letter provides clarification of several items.

Several attempts have been made to obtain estimates to install two additional gates in the existing fence at Dead Creek. The following four companies were contacted: Granite City Fence Company, Caseyville Fence Co., Chaney Fence Corp., and Humphrey Chain Link Fence Co. Of these, only Granite City Fence responded with an estimate. The quoted price for the two gates was \$1530.00. Rough specifications for the gates are as follows: \*

Chain Link - 9 guage galvanized steel wire, woven in a 2 inch mesh, 72 inches in height.

Barbed Wire - 12 guage galvanized steel wire (2 strands) with four point barbs on five inch centers.

Posts - Line posts will be 2 inch galvanized steel pipe, and gate posts will be 3 inch galvanized steel pipe.

It should be noted that Granite City Fence Company is the company that installed the original fence at Dead Creek, and they are familiar with the area and the project. Accordingly because repeated requests for bids have not generated any response, it is our intention to contract with Granite City Fence Company unless you advise us to the contrary.

RECEIVED

SEP 19 1986

IEPA-DLPC

Mr. Jeff Larson  
Illinois EPA  
Page 2

In reference to security for the air monitoring stations, our past Hi-Vol sampling experiences in similar environments indicate that no outside security personnel will be necessary. The units are housed in steel shelters which can be locked, and attempts will be made to locate the units near stationary objects (eg. fences) to which they may be chained. Accordingly, we do not believe that any additional provisions need to be added to the amended contract for this purpose.

\* With regard to our discussion of tasks which should be carried over from original RI/FS scope of work to the amended contract, I did not identify any other than those discussed in my August 20, 1986 letter to Bob Cowles. However while discussing this with Dan Sewall today, he pointed out to me that Addition Requirements, Tasks 11 and 20, were a separate cost item in the original cost proposal, will need to be carried out under the amended contract, and should be carried over. Since \$2,840 of the \$16,573 budgeted for these tasks in original E & E cost proposal has been expended, the amended contract should include \$13,733 for completion of these tasks during the RI/HRS and FS portions of the project.

If you have any further questions regarding these items, please contact me.

• Very truly yours,



Michael L. Miller, P.E.

MLM:dg  
29S:1M

RECEIVED

SEP 19 1986

IEPA-DLPC